Use of multi-parametric measures to differentiate and better assess fibrosis **AASLD** Nov. 10-14, 2023 patterns between baseline and end-of-treatment (EOT) patients in NASH The Liver clinical trials: results from the Falcon-1 and 2 clinical trials Meeting® Kutbuddin Akbary¹, Dean Tai¹, Yayun Ren¹, Anne Minnich², Edgar D. Charles² Bristol Myers Squibb **HISTOINDEX**® [1] HistoIndex Pte Ltd, Singapore; [2] Bristol-Myers Squibb, New Jersey, USA INTRODUCTION CONCLUSIONS RESULTS

- Recent NASH clinical trials target specific pathological pathways in development of fibrosis, steatosis and inflammation.
- Quantitative fibrosis assessment as single measure by biomarkers like ProC3 or histology-based measures like collagen proportionate area (CPA) show limited association with biopsydetermined fibrosis dynamics between Baseline (BL) and End-Of-Treatment (EOT).
- We describe the possibility of overcoming these limitations using multi-parametric measurements such as qFibrosis (AI based SHG/TPE microscopy, evaluates more than 180 fibrosis parameters) assessments.
- **Multi-parametric measurements** refer to assessments that incorporate multiple distinct parameters or factors to provide a more comprehensive and accurate understanding of a complex phenomenon or condition, whereas Single parameter measures analyse a solitary individual factor or characteristic to assess a specific aspect or feature of the same phenomenon or condition.
- **qFibrosis (qF):** A composite measure of fibrosis using SHG/TPE microscopy integrating more (r=0.52) among biomarkers. than 180 collagen morphological parameters through AI, enabling a holistic evaluation of fibrosis patterns (Figure 2). (r=0.42).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Biopsies taken from FALCON-1, a phase 2 trial in NASH (NCT03486899) and FALCON-2, a phase 2 trial in NASH-cirrhosis (NCT03486912).
- SHG/TPE (Single Harmonic Generation/Two-Photon Excitation) microscopy and AI (Artificial-Intelligence) analysis were employed to quantify fibrosis parameters on 301 biopsy slide pairs (n=602).
- Biopsy data from FALCON-1 was obtained at 24-weeks, while data from FALCON-2 was at 48weeks.
- Biomarkers of these patients, such as serum AST and ALT, ProC3, PC3X, ELF, Somascan were correlated with SHG/TPE microscopy parameters like qFibrosis continuous values, qFibrosis stages, and %SHG-CPA (% area of SHG) using Spearman correlation (r-value).
- Outcomes were compared with pathologist-based NASH-CRN staging, the currently accepted standard for NASH clinical trial primary endpoints.





Figure 1. Correlation analysis of NASH-CRN with Biomarkers and SHG/TPE microscopy parameters. The statistically significant (p≤0.001) correlations are marked in blue-grey cells, white cells indicate statistically insignificant correlations.

- r=0.53).
- assessments.
- baseline and EOT data (Table 1).

Table 1 Convolations (ny values) of Multi

Table 1. Correlations (r-values) of Multi-parameter vs Single parameter measures			
		NASH-CRN (BL)	NASH-CRN (EOT)
PC3X	Single parameter	0.54	0.50
%SHG-CPA	measures	0.54	0.53
Somalogic	Multi-parametric	0.54	0.66
qFibrosis values	measures	0.51	0.32

Correlation analysis against NASH-CRN staging scores are depicted in Figure 1.

Strongest correlation with NASH-CRN found in Somalogic (r=0.61), followed by PC3X

NASH-CRN staging exhibited highest correlations with %SHG-CPA (r=0.53) and qF

Comparing baseline and EOT NASH-CRN data, minimal differences in r-values seen in single-parameter measures like PC3X (r=0.54 to r=0.5) and %SHG-CPA (r=0.54 to

More pronounced differences between BL and EOT NASH-CRN data observed in multiparameter measures like Somalogic (r=0.54 to r=0.66) and qF (r=0.51 to r=0.32).

Correlations (r-value) between qF and NASH-CRN decreases to 0.32 in EOT data, suggesting a reduced alignment with NASH-CRN staging. This indicates that central reader assessment of changes in fibrosis at EOT might be different from qF based

Multi-parameter measures show marked variations in correlations (r-values) between

Dean Tai dean.tai@histoindex.com





Figure 2: Collagen morphological features such as fiber length, width, intersection, etc. that are evaluated in calculating qF score

 As promising NASH drugs approach approval, identifying optimal measures of fibrosis dynamics is crucial for assessing post-treatment fibrosis changes effectively.

 Drug impacts on SHG/TPE parameters reveal distinctive fibrosis regression and progression patterns, warranting revaluation of correlations with blood biomarkers.

 This study shows importance of devising fibrosis measures that encompass changes between BL and EOT patients, incorporating multi-parametric aspects to better capture dynamic trends and enhance comprehensive assessment of dynamic fibrosis progression and regression in post-drug approval era.

Future analysis could explore correlations between SHG/TPE imaging and multi-parametric biomarkers, enhancing our understanding of fibrosis regressions.

CONTACT INFORMATION