



Imaging based endpoints, MRE LSM and MAST score, had the strongest correlation with liver fibrosis staging (both NASH CRN and HistoIndex-QDP qFibrosis)

Individual, blood-based non-invasive tests show only weak to moderate correlation with the degree of fibrosis in liver biopsy



Magnetic resonance elastography (MRE) demonstrate the strongest correlation with digital pathology and NASH CRN fibrosis assessments, compared to transient elastography and other assessed non-invasive tests (NITs)



MILJEN MARTIC¹, DEAN TAI², NIKOLAI NAOUMOV³, ELAINE CHNG², CLIFFORD BRASS⁴, JUERGEN LOEFFLER⁵, JOSSY GEORGE KOCHUPARAMPIL⁵, JIA LING CHONG², SHENGLIN MA⁶, ANDREAS SAILER⁵, DOMINIQUE BREES⁵, ZACHARY GOODMAN⁷, MARCOS C. PEDROSA⁵, QUENTIN M. ANSTEE^{8,9}

¹ Novartis Pharma AG, Translational Medicine, Biomarker Development, Basel, Switzerland
² HistoIndex Pte. Ltd., Singapore, Singapore
³ London, United Kingdom
⁴ Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, United States
⁵ Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland
⁶ Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Translational Medicine, Biomarker Development, Cambridge, MA, United States
⁷ Inova Health System, Director of Hepatic Pathology Consultation and Research, Falls Church, VA, United States
⁸ Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom
⁹ Newcastle NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

1 Introduction

Correlation of a non-invasive test (NIT) with liver histology-based readouts is one of the key aspects of NIT inclusion in clinical trials and their adoption and impact on patient care.

2 Aim

This sub-study aimed to explore correlation of different NITs with liver histology endpoints assessed by standard histology (NASH-CRN) and quantitative digital pathology (HistoIndex, HI-QDP).

3 Method

As part of the TANDEM NASH Phase 2 trial [NCT 03517540], the cross-sectional pre-treatment data has been collected from 269 patients with NAFLD (138 screen-failed and 131 randomized subjects). Key trial inclusion criteria were based on liver biopsy (NASH with F2 or F3 fibrosis).¹ Only subjects who signed additional research ICF have been included in this analysis. Liver biopsies were evaluated by a central reader using NASH-CRN scoring system and analyzed by HI-QDP algorithm. ALT, AST, ELF, GGT, FIB-4 and Pro-C3 were analyzed by central laboratory, Fibroscan liver stiffness measurement (LSM) was assessed by a clinical site, while MRE LSM was assessed by a central imaging reader. In addition, SHG/TPEF microscopy was also used to assess liver fibrosis on a continuous scale (qFibrosis), as previously reported.²

5 Conclusions

Imaging based endpoints, MRE LSM and MAST score, had the strongest correlation with liver fibrosis staging (both NASH CRN and by HI-QDP qFibrosis) among tested NITs.

6 Acknowledgements

The authors thank the patients who participated in this study and their families, as well as the investigators and the study coordinators.

7 References

- 1) Kleiner DE, et al. Hepatology. 2005;41:1313-1321.
- 2) Liu F, et al. Hepatology. 2020;71:1953-1966
- 3) Noureddin M, et al. J Hepatol. 2022; 76(4): 781-787
- 4) Newsome, PN, et al. Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2020; 5, 362-373

8 Contact information

Miljen Martic, miljen.martic@novartis.com

4 Results

Statistical analysis on the entire cohort (n=269) revealed that MRE had the strongest correlation with both fibrosis assessments (NASH CRN and HI-QDP qFibrosis), followed by MRI aspartate aminotransferase (MAST) score (Table 1). As MRI and MRE imaging were only performed in a random subset of subjects, the MRE based dataset is smaller than for Fibroscan and soluble biomarkers. Interestingly, when correlating with qFibrosis, third and fourth ranked biomarkers were Pro-C3 and ELF, compared to FIB-4 and ELF when correlating with NASH CRN fibrosis assessment. In both cases, Fibroscan LSM and FibroScan-AST (FAST) score ranked fifth and sixth.

Correlation between histology readouts and NITs / R value (p value)		
NITs	qFibrosis continuous	CRN
ALT (qFibrosis n=266) (CRN n=254)	0.07 (p=0.2288)	0.02 (p=0.7472)
AST (qFibrosis n=266) (CRN n=254)	0.26 (p< 0.001)	0.25 (p< 0.001)
ELF (qFibrosis n=266) (CRN n=255)	0.33 (p< 0.001)	0.38 (p< 0.001)
GGT (qFibrosis n=267) (CRN n=255)	0.22 (p< 0.001)	0.18 (p=0.0040)
PRO-C3 (qFibrosis n=102) (CRN n=102)	0.35 (p< 0.001)	0.08 (p=0.4442)
FIB-4 (qFibrosis n=262) (CRN n=251)	0.26 (p< 0.001)	0.40 (p< 0.001)
Fibroscan LSM (kPa) (qFibrosis n=183) (CRN n=181)	0.29 (p< 0.001)	0.21 (p=0.0039)
FAST (qFibrosis n=151) (CRN n=149)	0.26 (p=0.0011)	0.28 (p< 0.001)
MRE LSM (kPa) (qFibrosis n=32) (CRN n=31)	0.49 (p=0.0047)	0.49 (p=0.0053)
MAST (qFibrosis n=32) (CRN n=31)	0.38 (p=0.0324)	0.43 (p=0.0149)

Table 1: Correlation between CRN staging and qFibrosis continuous values against NITs. R value is calculated using Spearman correlation.

Abbreviations: NITs: non-invasive tests; n: number of patients in individual group; ALT: Alanine Aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate transaminase; ELF: Enhanced liver fibrosis test; GGT: Gamma-glutamyl transferase test; PRO-C3: precisely cleaved N-terminal propeptide of type III collagen; FIB-4: Fibrosis-4 index; LSM: liver stiffness measurement; FAST: FibroScan-AST score; MRE: Magnetic resonance elastography; MAST: MRI-based score